

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/679,647	10/06/2003	Takashi Tokutani	1503.68508	3934
Dataiale C. Dum	7590 03/28/2007		EXAM	INER
Patrick G. Burns, Esq. GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD. Suite 2500 300 South Wacker Dr. Chicago, IL 60606			PICH, PONNOREAY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2135	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	NTHS	03/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/679,647	TOKUTANI ET A	TOKUTANI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Ponnoreay Pich	2135		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMU 1.136(a). In no event, however, may d will apply and will expire SIX (6) No ute, cause the application to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this a ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24-2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal m		ne merits is	
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	ccepted or b) objected e drawing(s) be held in abe ection is required if the draw	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ing(s) is objected to. See 37 C		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Ints have been received into the control of the control	n Application No en received in this Nationa	ıl Stage	
	h	anly B. The AUZI		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/2004.	4) Intervie	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application		

Application/Control Number: 10/679,647

Art Unit: 2135

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-11 are pending.

Priority

Foreign priority claim to Japanese document 2002-296778 is noted. However, foreign priority claim has not yet been perfected because while a certified copy of the foreign priority document has been received, no translation of the document is on file as required by 35 USC 119(b)(3).

Information Disclosure Statement

The IDS filed on 5/27/2004 has been considered.

Claim Objections

Claims 1-11 are objected to because of the following informalities:

- 1. Claim 1 recites "encrypted private data" in line 3. Later recitations of "the private data" in claim 1 and its dependent claims (specifically claims 3, 5-9) should instead be "the encrypted private data" so as to maintain consistency. Claims 10 and 11 contain similar informalities.
- 2. In claim 3, TRM should be spelled out—"Tamper Resistant Module (TRM)".
- 3. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2135

Claims 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

1. The limitations further recited in claims 8 and 9 appear to contain translation errors and/or run-on sentences, thus the claims are indefinite. Applicant is respectfully requested to double check the wording of the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

- 1. Claim 10 is not statutory because the claim is directed towards a program, which is software per se. Software by itself is not patentable.
- Claim 11 is directed towards an apparatus comprising various units to perform a
 method as recited in claim 1. It would appear that these units could be
 implemented via software alone, thus claim 11 is not statutory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/679,647

Art Unit: 2135

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hurst et al (US 2003/0007646).

Claims 1 and 10-11:

As per claim 1, Hurst discloses:

- 1. Receiving encrypted private data (paragraph 19);
- Receiving an encrypted private data use license which describes a decryption key, i.e. content key, for decrypting the private data, and a use condition, i.e. business rules/binding attributes, of the private data (paragraphs 19, 36-38, and 62);
- 3. Decrypting the decryption key and the private data use license (paragraph 62);
- Determining whether or not a use purpose of the private data matches the use condition described in the private data use license (paragraph 62); and
- 5. Decrypting the private data by using the decrypted decryption key only if the use purpose of the private data matches the use condition (paragraph 62).

Claim 11-12 recite limitations similar to what is recited in claim 1 and are rejected for substantially the same reasons. The difference is that claim 11 is directed towards a

Art Unit: 2135

program which performs the method of claim 1 and claim 12 is directed towards an apparatus comprising units to perform the method of claim 1.

Claim 2:

Hurst further discloses wherein the decryption key and the private data use license are encrypted and decrypted by using a DRM authentication technology (paragraphs 52 and 62).

Claim 3:

Hurst further discloses wherein a mechanism for decrypting the private data use license by using a DRM authentication technology is implemented as a Tamper Resistant Module (TRM) (paragraphs 46 and 61).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hurst et al US 2003/0007646) in view of Peinado et al (US 6,775,655).

Claim 4:

Hurst does not explicitly disclose the following limitation, but it is disclosed by Peinado: wherein the use condition of the private data use license includes at least any

Application/Control Number: 10/679,647

Art Unit: 2135

of an expiry date, a number of available times, a use purpose, and a number of move times of the private data use license (col 1, lines 50-58 and col 2, lines 61-67).

At the time applicant's invention was made, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Hurst's invention according to the limitations recited in claim 4 in light of Peinado's teachings. One skilled would have been motivated to do so because Peinado discloses that content owners may wish to limit use of their digital content in the manners disclosed by Peinado (col 1, lines 50-58).

Claim 5:

Hurst further discloses wherein the use purpose includes a restriction on an application which uses the private data (paragraph 36).

Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hurst et al US 2003/0007646) in view of Cooper et al (US 2001/0051996) and further in view of Peinado et al (US 6,775,655).

Claim 6:

Hurst discloses receiving the encrypted private data, and the encrypted private data use license which describes the decryption key for decrypting the private data, and the use condition of the private data (paragraphs 19, 36-38, and 62).

However, Hurst does not explicitly disclose the receiving is from a plurality of information entities. However, Cooper discloses receiving from a plurality of information entities (paragraph 253).

At the time applicant's invention was made, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Hurst's invention such that the receiving is from a plurality of information entities. One skilled would have been motivated to do so because it would distribute network load amongst a plurality of locations, thus achieving load balancing and prevent any one information entity from being overloaded (Cooper: paragraph 253). Note that Cooper discloses that this is a widespread practice in the industry.

Hurst also does not explicitly disclose creating a name list license by concatenating a plurality of private data use licenses which have same conditions; and creating a name list by concatenating encrypted private data which correspond to the private use licenses used to create the name list license.

However, Peinado discloses creating a name list license by concatenating a plurality of private data use licenses which have same conditions (col 21, lines 3-23). At the time applicant's invention was made, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to further modify Hurst's invention using Peinado's teachings by creating a name list license by concatenating a plurality of private data use licenses which have same conditions. One skilled would have been motivated to do so because use of a name list license would prevent the need to search for and load multiple licenses for a digital content, thus accessing the digital content is more efficient.

Further, official notice is taken that use of a play list was well known in the art at the time applicant's invention was made. Creation of a content play list from encrypted media which requires licenses to play the media reads on creating a name list by concatenating encrypted private data which correspond to the private use licenses used

Art Unit: 2135

to create the name list license. At the time applicant's invention was made, it would have been obvious to further modify Hurst's invention according to the limitations recited in claim 6. One skilled would have been motivated to do so because use of a play/name list allows a user to consecutively play multiple files without having to manually load each file.

Page 8

Claim 7:

As per claim 7, Hurst further discloses wherein the encrypted private data can be decrypted with a decryption key possessed by an information entity that transmits the private data (paragraphs 57 and 62).

Claim 8:

As per claim 8, Cooper further discloses wherein if the private data is provided to a different information device, at least any one of a name, a type, a use purpose, and an inquiry destination of an organization which manages a different information device to which the private data is provided, and a provided item list of a private data database is created for each information entity, and disclosed to a corresponding information entity depending on need (paragraphs 18-19).

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hurst et al US 2003/0007646) in view of Cooper et al (US 2001/0051996) and further in view of Peinado et al (US 6,775,655) and further in view of Floyd et al (US 6,243,692).

Application/Control Number: 10/679,647 Page 9

Art Unit: 2135

Claim 9:

As per claim 9, Hurst does not explicitly disclose receiving corrected contents if a correction is made to at least one of the encrypted private data, and the private data use license which describes the decryption key for decrypting the private data, and the use condition of the private data; and transmitting the corrected contents to a different information device to secure sameness of the private data and the private data use license. However, Floyd discloses the limitation (col 5, lines 34-48).

At the time applicant's invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to further modify Hurst's invention according to the limitations recited in claim 9 in light of Floyd's teachings. One skilled would have been motivated to do so because it would allow the end user to upgrade from one content module to another (col 5, lines 34-36).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ponnoreay Pich whose telephone number is 571-272-7962. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am-4:30pm Mon-Thurs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on 571-272-3859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/679,647 Page 10

Art Unit: 2135

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ponnoreay Pich Examiner Art Unit 2135

PP

Manhaga B. 12